## Carbon Dioxide and the Flood

By Glenn R. Morton

Copyright 1998 G.R. Morton

This may be freely distributed so long as no monetary charges and no alterations to the text are made.

## http://home.entouch.net/dmd/co2.htm

Not only do volcanoes produce lots of sulfuric acid, they produce more CO2. I found this:

"Using the Kilauea eruption as a model, Terrence M. Gerlach of Sandia National Laboratory in Albuquerque estimated that the Deccan Traps injected up to 30 trillion tons of carbon dioxide, six trillion tons of sulfur and 60 billion tons of halogens (reactive elements such as chlorine and fluorine) into the lower atmosphere over a few hundred years." ~ Vincent E. Courtillot, "A Volcanic Eruption," Scientific American, October, 1990, p. 85–92, p. 89

Now, the Deccan traps contain  $8.2 \times 10^6$  cubic kilometers so the output from the Deccan traps is:

 $30 \times 10^{12} \text{ tons}/8.2 \times 10^6 \text{ cubic kilometers} = 3.658 \text{ megatons (Mt)} / \text{ cubic kilometer of basalt.}$ 

There are huge lava flows on earth, called volcanic traps, which must have occurred during the flood year because they lie on top of supposed flood deposited sedimentary rock and beneath flood deposited sedimentary rock. So if the geology requires that they be extruded during the flood, how much sulfuric acid must come with them? Here are some of the volumes of rock extruded to the earth's surface during such episodes:

Volcanics flood basalt flows (Coffin and Eldholm).

DATE

VOLUME

Ontong Java/Nauru	121-124 my	$38-55 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^3$
Kerguelen Plateau/ Broken Ridge	114-109.5 my	$15-25 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^3$
North Atlantic	57.5-54.5 my	$6.6 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^3$
Deccan Traps	65-69 my	$8.2 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^3$
Columbia River	6-17.5 my	$1.74 \times 10^5 \text{ km}^3$
Ethiopian Traps before erosion		$7.5 \times 10^5 \text{ km}^3$
Siberian Traps	249-216 my	$2.3 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^3$
Central Atlantic Magmatic Prov.	(CAMP) 200 my	$2 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^3$

(estimated from the data of Mohr and Zanettin, 1988, p. 63; Siberian Traps from Reichow et al, Science 296(2002), p. 1849 CAMP from Marzoli et al, Science 284(1999), p. 618).

## Other Basalt flows Volcanics flood basalt flows (Hess, 1989)

	DATE	Area
Snake River Plain km²	16 my	$.5 \times 10^{5}$
Parana Plateau Brazil km²	119-149my	12 x 10 <sup>5</sup>
Karoo Basalts	166-206 my	$>1.4 \times 10^5$

Assuming a 1 kilometer thickness for the second list of traps this adds up to approximately  $98 \times 10^6$  cubic kilometers.

So at 3.6 megatons/km $^3$  x 98 x 10 $^6$  cubic kilometers of basalt = 3.5 x 10 $^{14}$  tons of CO $_2$ .

Given that there are 1016 kg/ton this means that during the one year flood,  $3.47 \times 10^{17} \text{ kg}$  of  $CO_2$  would be released. According to my CRC the mass of the atmosphere is  $5.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ g}$  or  $5.2 \times 10^{18} \text{ kg}$ . Thus the amount of  $CO_2$  released ONLY by the volcanic traps during the YEC global flood, is equal to 6.6% of the entire atmosphere.

How does this relate to the present atmosphere? Currently we are approaching 400 parts per million (ppm) CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere, yet the YEC scenario would produce an atmosphere that had AS A MINIMUM a CO<sub>2</sub> level of 58615 parts per million. Scientists are worried about a 600 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> world next century, the YEC post flood world would create such a hot climate that all life would be destroyed. Yet amazingly, Creationists like Austin, Baumgardner, Wise, Snelling, Vardiman, Humphreys and Oard think that the post flood world would be glacially cold. (See "Austin et al, Catastrophic Plate Tectonics" 3rd ICC 1994, p. 615 and Michael Oard, A rapid Post Flood Ice Age," CRSQ 16(1979):29–37; Oard, An Ice age Caused by the Genesis Flood, 1990 ICR).

Of course, CO<sub>2</sub> is a strong greenhouse gas and young–earth creationists have not given the thought to this issue that they should have. Their global flood would choke Noah on sulfuric acid and then choke him again on the CO<sub>2</sub>, and with an atmosphere so clogged with CO<sub>2</sub>, Noah would burn up. Venus has an atmosphere with lots of CO<sub>2</sub> and the temperature there is several hundred degrees C.! But somehow, YECs want us to believe that the postflood, CO<sub>2</sub> rich atmosphere would be very cold. Is there any scientific fact that will move them to reconsider their views?

Back to DMD Publishing Home Page

Modified 6-8-02